

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Vigninia 22313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/917,649 | 07/31/2001 | Mark J. Feldstein | 79,856 | 1077 | |
| 7590 05/20/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | h Laboratory, Code | LUDLOW, JAN M | | | |
| 4555 Overlook Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20375-5320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1743 | 1743 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Assistant Community | 09/917,649 | FELDSTEIN, MARK J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jan M. Ludlow | 1743 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI | imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F | ebruary 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | osecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 and 47-73 is/are pending in the app | lication | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>68-73</u> is/are withdray | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1, 47-67 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | , | • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | ammer. Note the attached Office | 5 ACION OF IOTH F 10-132. | | | | |
| | | A) (d) == (0) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage. | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | , , , | ed | | | | |
| Oce the attached detailed office action for a list | or the defined copies not receiv | eu. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmant(c) | | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔯 Interview Summary | (PTO 442) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🖂 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | у (F 1 0-4 13) Date. <u>2/17/2005</u> . | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac | etion Summary P | art of Paper No./Mail Date 05162005 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/917,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. Newly submitted claims 68-73 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 29, 47-67, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass
 100.
 - II. Claims 68-73, drawn to a method of moving fluid, classified in class 436, subclass 180.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method does not require the particulars of the apparatus, e.g., the enclosed reservoirs or the movement of fluid "only when..." as required in the functional limitation of the apparatus. The method claims do not recite all the limitations of the apparatus claims.
- 4. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, the invention of Group I has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 68-73 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,649 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 6. IA. Claims 29, 47, 56-57, 61, 62, 64-67, embodiment with analytical feature.
- 7. IB. Claims 29, 48, 60, 62 embodiment with specified channel size.
- 8. IC. Claims 29,, 53, 58, 59, 62, 63 embodiment with specified valve features.
- 9. ID. Claims 29, 52, 54, 56, embodiment with specified reservoir structure.
- 10. IE. Claims 29, 55, 62, embodiment with specified primary channel structures.
- 11. IF. Claims 29, 49-51, 62, embodiment with secondary channels.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 29, 62 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1743

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,649 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml May 16, 2005